IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

S.B.J.S., P.C.,)
doing business as)
SOUTHERN BONE & JOINT,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
V.) CASE NO. 1:20-cv-373-RAH
HH IA MOODE) (WO)
JULIA MOORE,)
Defendant)
Defendant,)
JULIA MOORE,)
JOEH I WOOKE,)
Third Party Plaintiff,)
,)
v.)
)
CIGNA HEALTH AND LIFE)
INSURANCE COMPANY)
)
Third Party Defendant.)

ORDER

On June 1, 2020, Third-Party Defendant Cigna Health and Life Insurance Company (Cigna) filed a Notice of Removal (Doc. 1) to this Court, asserting federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 due to the ERISA claim (Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. §1001 *et seq.*) allegedly asserted by Defendant Julia Moore. Believing that it may not have jurisdiction over this matter under *Home Depot U. S. A., Inc. v. Jackson*, ____ U.S.

_____, 139 S.Ct. 1743, 1745 (2019), the Court sua sponte on June 22, 2020 ordered

Cigna to show cause why the Court had jurisdiction. (Doc. 5.) On July 8, 2020,

Cigna filed its response (Doc. 6) in which it acknowledged that under the Eleventh

Circuit's June 23, 2020, decision in Bowling v. U.S. Bank Nat'l Ass'n, As Tr. for C-

Bass Mortg. Loan Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2007-SP2, No. 17-11953, 2020

WL 3424928 (11th Cir. June 23, 2020), remand was appropriate. Plaintiff S.B.J.S.,

P.C. (SBJS) also filed a reply (Doc. 7) consenting to remand of this action.

Defendant Julia Moore (Moore) did not reply.

Based on the consents to remand filed by SBJS and Cigna, the failure to object

by Moore, the Eleventh Circuit's June 23, 2020, decision in Bowling, and this

Court's own independent review of the record, the Court finds that it lacks

jurisdiction over this matter. Accordingly, this matter is remanded to the District

Court of Houston County, Alabama for further proceedings.

DONE and ORDERED, this the 21st day of July, 2020.

/s/ R. Austin Huffaker, Jr.

R. AUSTIN HUFFAKER, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2